1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17 l

18

19

22

23 l

24

25 II

26|

27

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KAREN MCBRIEN,

No. C 11-5612 CW

Plaintiff,

ORDER REMANDING CASE TO STATE COURT AND DENYING

v.

AS MOOT APPLICATION TO

CITY OF SAN MATEO, et al.,

PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

Defendants.

Plaintiff Karen McBrien originally filed this case in state court, removed it to federal court and applies to proceed in forma pauperis.

Plaintiff has improperly removed this case. The statutes governing removal, 28 U.S.C. § 1441 and 1446, indicate that a case 20 | is removable from state to federal court by the defendant or 21 defendants in the action. There is no provision for removal of a case by a plaintiff because the plaintiff is the party who has decided to file the case in state court. See Esparza v. New Centry Mortg. Corp., 2011 WL 4499117, *1 (S.D. Cal.) (the removal statute does not permit a plaintiff to remove an action he chose to file in state court); In re Walker, 375 F.2d 678, 678 (9th Cir. 1967) (no right in favor of plaintiff, who has filed action in state court, to cause the removal of such action).

26 27

28

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	
2	C
3	d
4	
5	
6	Da
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
, 5	

	Ве	cause	remov	al i	s :	improp	er,	t	his	case	is	remar	nded	to	st	ate
court	Ξ.	Plair	ntiff'	s ap	pl:	icatio	n t	0	proc	ceed	in	forma	paur	eri	s :	is
denie	-d :	as mod	ot.													

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 1/6/2012

United States District Judge